

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
"Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/424,080 | 02/14/2000 | VLADIMIR ZAVIALOV | 933-149PCT | 7527 |
| | 590 09/11/2002 | | | |
| | VART KOLASCH & I | EXAMINER | | |
| PO BOX 747 | | | EXECUTIVE R | |
| FALLS CHURCH, VA 220400747 | | | SCHWADRON | RONALD B |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1644 | I C: |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/11/2002 | 19 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

09/424,080

| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| | | | |

| EXAMINER | | | | | |
|----------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | | |
| | | | | | |

DATE MAILED:

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

Commissioner of Patents

The reply filed on is not fully responsive to the prior Office action because of the following issue.

As per the attachment to the PTO-948 which was mailed with the Office Action mailed 1/29/2002, the Patent Office now requires the submission of corrected drawings in response to an Office Action which includes a PTO-948 indicating that the drawings are defective. 37 CFR 1.85 states:

37 CFR 1.85. Corrections to drawings.

(a) A utility or plant application will not be placed on the files for examination until objections to the drawings have been corrected. Except as provided in § 1.215(c), any patent application publication will not include drawings filed after the application has been placed on the files for examination. Unless applicant is otherwise notified in an Office action, objections to the drawings in a utility or plant application will not be held in abeyance, and a request to hold objections to the drawings in abeyance will not be considered a bona fide attempt to advance the application to final action (§ 1.135(c)). If a drawing in a design application meets the requirements of § 1.84(e), (f), and (g) and is suitable for reproduction, but is not otherwise in compliance with § 1.84, the drawing may be admitted for examination.

Since the period for reply set forth in the prior Office action has expired, this application will become abandoned unless applicant corrects the deficiency and obtains an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. In no case may an applicant reply outside the SIX (6) MONTH statutory period or

obtain an extension for more than FIVE (5) MONTHS beyond the date for reply set forth in an Office action. A fully responsive reply must be timely filed to avoid abandonment of this application.

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. September 10, 2002

RONALD B. SCHWADRON PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800 (んじ)